VMware vCenter Update Manager provides a patch management framework for VMware vSphere. IT administrators can use it to patch and upgrade VMware ESX/ESXi hosts, apply patches to Windows and certain versions of Linux in virtual machines, upgrade VMware Tools and virtual hardware for virtual machines, and patch and upgrade virtual appliances.

As datacenters get bigger, performance implications become more important for patch management. This study covers the following topics:

- “Benchmarking Methodology” on page 2
- “Update Manager Server Host Deployment” on page 3
- “Latency Overview” on page 4
- “Resource Consumption Matrix” on page 6
- “Guest Operating System Tuning” on page 8
- “On-Access Virus Scanning” on page 9
- “Network Latencies” on page 13
- “Host Operations in WAN Environments” on page 14
- “Conclusion” on page 15
- “References” on page 15
Benchmarking Methodology

Experimental Setup

vCenter Update Manager 4.1, VMware vCenter Server 4.1, ESX 3.5, ESX 4.0, and ESX 4.1 were used for performance measurements. WANem 1.2 was used for simulating a high-latency network with packet drops. Microsoft Windows XP SP2 was used for powered-off virtual machine scan and remediation. Red Hat Enterprise Linux 32-bit was used for Linux virtual machine scan.

**VMware Update Manager and vCenter Server**

Host Computer: Dell PowerEdge 2970

CPUs: Two 2GHz AMD Opteron 2212 dual-core processors

RAM: 16GB

Hard drives: Eight 73GB SAS drives

Network: Broadcom NetXtreme II5708 1Gbps

vCenter Update Manager software: Version 4.1

vCenter Server software: Version 4.1

**NOTE** In addition to this hardware configuration with 16GB RAM and 4 CPUs, Update Manager and vCenter Server were deployed in separate VMs with 4 virtual CPUs and 4GB RAM. The virtual machine configuration achieved performance comparable to the hardware configuration.

**ESX System**

Host Computer: Dell PowerEdge 2900

CPUs: Two 2.66GHz Intel Xeon 5355 quad-core processors

RAM: 32GB

Hard drives: Eight 73GB SAS drives

Network: Broadcom NetXtreme II5708 1Gbps

ESX software: Versions 3.5, 4.0, and 4.1

**Virtual Machine Operating Systems**

Windows: Microsoft Windows XP SP2

Linux: Red Hat Enterprise Linux 32-bit (kernel: 2.6.18-8.el5)

**Network Simulation Software**

WANem 1.2

**Network Configurations**

The network configurations used in the experiments are shown in Figure 1 (basic network configuration) and Figure 2 (high-latency network configuration).
**Update Manager Server Host Deployment**

The three Update Manager server host deployment models, shown in Figure 3, are:

- **Model 1** — The vCenter Server and the Update Manager server share both a host and a database instance.
- **Model 2** — Recommended for data centers with more than 300 virtual machines. In this model, the vCenter server and the Update Manager server still share a host, but use separate database instance.
- **Model 3** — Recommended for data centers with more than 1,000 virtual machines. In this model, the vCenter server and the Update Manager server run on different hosts, each with its own database instance.

**NOTE** If you are using Update Manager to patch only hosts (no virtual machines), a system design where Update Manager server shares the same host with vCenter Server is acceptable.

For both ESX and virtual machine patching, the Update Manager server transfers patch files over the network. To avoid unnecessary disk I/O, it is ideal if the Update Manager server host can cache patch files, some of which are several hundred megabytes, completely within the system cache. To this end it is desirable for the Update Manager server host to have at least 2GB of RAM.
It is also best to place the patch store and Update Manager database on separate physical disks. This arrangement distributes the Update Manager I/O and dramatically improves performance.

**Figure 3. Update Manager Server Host Deployment Models**

![Diagram showing three deployment models for Update Manager server host deployment.](image)

**Performance Tips**

- Separate the Update Manager database from the vCenter database when there are 300+ virtual machines or 30+ hosts.
- Separate both the Update Manager server and the Update Manager database from the vCenter Server system and the vCenter Server database when there are 1000+ virtual machines or 100+ hosts.
- Make sure the Update Manager server host has at least 2GB of RAM to cache patch files in memory.
- Allocate separate physical disks for the Update Manager patch store and the Update Manager database.

**Latency Overview**

Update Manager operation latency is an important metric. IT administrators need to finish applying patches within maintenance windows. *Figure 4* and *Figure 5* show latency results for a powered-off Windows virtual machine scan, a powered-on Windows virtual machine scan, an ESX host scan, a VMware Tools scan, a virtual machine hardware scan, a virtual machine hardware remediation (with the VM powered off and powered on), a compliance view for a folder with 600 virtual machines, an ESX host upgrade, and a VMware Tools upgrade.

For both the powered-on and powered-off virtual machine scan performance data presented in *Figure 4* the Update Manager Guest Agent is already installed. For the virtual machine hardware upgrade latency result in *Figure 4*, the virtual machine was originally powered off. For VMware Tools upgrade, the virtual machine was originally powered on. For compliance view of a folder with 600 virtual machines, the default critical virtual machine patch baseline and non-critical virtual machine patch baseline are attached to the folder and the
latency is measured for fetching the compliance data for all attached baselines. All the numbers are averaged over multiple runs. The powered-on virtual machine scan showed higher deviation than did the powered-off virtual machine scan. Note that these latency numbers are only references. Actual latency varies significantly with different deployment setups.

**Figure 4.** Update Manager Operation Latency Overview 1 (Latency in Seconds for Y Axis)

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Update Manager Operation Latency Overview 2 (Latency in Minutes for Y Axis)

![Figure 5](image-url)

The results in **Figure 4** and **Figure 5** were measured on a low-latency local network setup. However, network latency plays an important role for most Update Manager operations. For example, **Figure 4** shows that a powered-off virtual machine scan finished faster than a powered-on virtual machine scan. In a high-latency network, however, a powered-on virtual machine scan can perform better than a powered-off virtual machine scan because the powered-on scan doesn’t need to transfer as much data. For results obtained in a high-latency network see “Network Latencies” on page 13.

**Performance Tips**

- Because the Update Manager Guest Agent will be installed in each Windows virtual machine the first time a powered-on scan is run on that machine, the first powered-on scan command can take longer than subsequent scans. It may therefore be desirable to run the first scan command when this additional time will not be an issue.
- Upgrading virtual machine hardware is faster if the virtual machine is already powered off. Otherwise, Update Manager will power off the virtual machine before upgrading the virtual hardware. This could increase the overall latency.

- Upgrading VMware Tools is faster if the virtual machine is already powered on. Otherwise, Update Manager will power on the virtual machine before the VMware Tools upgrade. This could increase the overall latency.

- For compliance view for all attached baselines, latency is increased linearly with the number of attached baselines. We recommend the removal of unused baselines, especially when the inventory size is relatively large.

### Resource Consumption Matrix

Update Manager operations have different loads on the Update Manager server, vCenter Server, and ESX host. Figure 6 and Figure 7 divide Update Manager operations into low, medium, and high resource consumption levels. For example, scanning a powered off Windows virtual machine results in high Update Manager server CPU usage, medium vCenter server CPU, network, disk, and database usage, and low ESX CPU usage. The powered-on Linux virtual machine scan latency measurement was done with a Linux virtual machine that did not have the Guest Agent installed, so scan latency includes the time it takes to download and install the Guest Agent because it is required for scanning to occur.

**Figure 6.** Resource Consumption for Update Manager Virtual Machine Patching Operations
To alleviate resource pressure on Update Manager servers and ESX hosts, Update Manager performs job throttling by limiting the maximum number of concurrent operations. Table 1 gives the default performance limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Update Manager Operations</th>
<th>Maximum Tasks per ESX Host</th>
<th>Maximum Tasks per Update Manager Server</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VM remediation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powered-on Windows VM scan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powered-off Windows VM scan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powered-on Linux VM scan</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESX Host scan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESX host remediation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMware Tools scan</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM hardware scan</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMware Tools upgrade</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM hardware upgrade</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESX upgrade</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Update Manager server combines all operation types when calculating the limit. If a combination of operation types are being performed simultaneously, the formulas should be used with caution. For example, if powered-on Windows virtual machine scans and powered-off Windows virtual machine scans are being performed simultaneously, the maximum number of concurrent scans is not 12 (6+6) per ESX host, but rather 6 per ESX host.

**Performance Tips**

- For a large setup, powered-on virtual machine scans are preferred if Update Manager server resources are constrained or more concurrency is needed for scans.
Guest Operating System Tuning

The Update Manager Guest Agent installed on a virtual machine is single threaded for both powered-on virtual machine scans and virtual machine remediation. Multiple vCPUs thus do not reduce Update Manager operation latency.

The amount of RAM available to the guest operating system, however, can have a significant effect on the performance of virtual machine remediation operations. As shown in Figure 8, when a remediation command is issued from the vSphere client through the Update Manager plug-in, the Update Manager server first downloads the patches, creates an ISO patch file, and mounts it as a virtual CDROM to the guest operating system. The Guest Agent inside the guest operating system verifies the checksum of the patch file before the actual patch is applied. If the guest operating system RAM size is too small to cache the entire patch file into system cache, the patch file has to be read again. Because some Windows service packs can be as big as a few hundred megabytes in size, it is best to configure each virtual machine with at least 1GB of RAM so duplicate reads for the whole patch files can be avoided.

Figure 8. Guest Operating System Patching Flow

Performance Tips

- Multiple vCPUs do not help Update Manager operations because the Update Manager Guest Agent is single threaded.
- Configure each virtual machine with at least 1GB of RAM so large patch files can fit in the system cache.
On-Access Virus Scanning

As shown in Figure 9, for powered-off or suspended virtual machines, a scan command is issued from the vSphere Client with the Update Manager plug-in and the Update Manager server mounts the virtual machine as a disk in the Update Manager server host. The Update Manager server then copies the hive files of the virtual machine and scans each file in the registry one by one. The file scan process has been optimized to read only necessary blocks of the scanned files to determine the version information. On average, there are only four reads per scanned file regardless of the actual size of each file. This is very important because the network between the Update Manager server and ESX host might be a high-latency network and reducing traffic on such a network can improve the performance dramatically.

Figure 9. Scan for Powered-Off or Suspended Virtual Machine

With on-access virus scanning, however, the optimization for reading only necessary blocks has no effect. When a file is opened, the on-access virus scanner will try to read the whole file to scan for viruses. This means all blocks of a scanned file will be fetched through the network. This is less of an issue on a low-latency network for powered-off scans as the file transfer speed is very high and thus the time to transfer the files can be ignored. Figure 10 show that on a low-latency network the total time to do powered-off scans is about the same with on-access virus scanning on or off. On a high-latency network, however, turning on-access virus scanning off can improve powered-off scan latency by almost 50%. 
It is recommended that the mounted disk be excluded from on-access virus scanning to achieve optimal performance. Here is an example of how to exclude it for McAfee On-Access Anti-Virus.

1. Click the All Processes icon on the left of the VirusScan On-Access Scan Properties window (see Figure 11).

2. Select the Detection tab.

3. Click the Exclusions... button.
Figure 11. VirusScan On-Access Scan Properties Window

4 Click the Add button (see Figure 12).

Figure 12. VirusScan Set Exclusions Window

5 Select the By name/location radio button (see Figure 13).

6 Type:
   \Device\vstor*

7 Select the Also exclude subfolders, On read, and On write checkboxes.
Figure 13. VirusScan Add Exclusion Item Window
8 Click OK in the Add Exclusion Item window.
9 Click OK in the Set Exclusions window.
10 Click OK in the VirusScan On-Access Scan Properties window.

Performance Tips

- Check if on-access virus scanning software is running on the Update Manager server host. If it is, exclude the mounted disk, as described in this section.

Network Latencies

Update Manager server performance is strongly affected by network speeds. For powered-off virtual machine scans, the Update Manager server needs to read the registry information remotely from the ESX host. For virtual machine remediation, the Update Manager Guest Agent reads the patch ISO file through the network from the Update Manager host. We would thus expect the latency results for Update Manager operations to be impacted when the network latency increases. Figure 14 shows the latency results for powered-off virtual machine scans, powered-on virtual machine scans, virtual machine remediation, and Guest Agent download. As shown in Figure 2, WANem is used in this test to simulate a high latency network.

Figure 14. Operation Latency with a High Latency Network

![Network Latency Chart]

Network round trip times (RTTs) are typically 75 to 100 milliseconds for intra-U.S. networks, about 250 milliseconds for transatlantic networks, and 320 to 430 milliseconds for satellite networks.

In Figure 14, the latency of powered-off virtual machine scans, virtual machine remediation, and Guest Agent download operations increases linearly with the network speed. Powered-on virtual machine scans stay flat as most of the job is done inside the virtual machine and only the scan result info is transferred over the network.

For an unreliable network with packet drops, both powered-off virtual machine scans and virtual machine remediation are impacted significantly. The root cause is that the TCP retransmission timer varies from a few hundred milliseconds to a few seconds depending on the latency of the network. With a high-latency network, the TCP retransmission timer is much longer than with a low-latency network. It is therefore highly desirable to deploy the Update Manager server close to the ESX hosts to avoid network latency and packet drop.
Performance Tips

- Deploy the Update Manager server close to the ESX hosts if possible. This reduces network latency and packet drops.
- On a high-latency network, powered-on virtual machine scans are preferred because they are not sensitive to network latency.

Host Operations in WAN Environments

If Update Manager is run over a low bandwidth, high latency, or lossy network, host operations might time out or fail for releases prior to Update Manager 4.0 U2. These operations include host patch and extension scanning, staging, and remediation, as well as host upgrade remediation tasks. Timeout might also occur under normal network conditions if the Update Manager operation takes more than 15 minutes to complete. Refer to KB 1021050, “Update Manager host tasks might fail in slow networks”.

For Update Manager 4.0 Update 2, and Update Manager 4.1 and later, no extra action is required and all host operations should complete without any errors. The time for host operations varies from several minutes (in LAN environments) to several hours (in WAN environments). You can estimate staging or remediation periods in various WAN environments as shown below. Table 2 lists typical WAN environments, such as dial-up, DSL, and satellite, with varying bandwidth, latency, and so on.

Table 2. Bandwidth, Latency, and Error Rate for Common Wide-Area Networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Latency</th>
<th>Error rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dial-up1</td>
<td>64Kbps</td>
<td>250ms</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dial-up2</td>
<td>256Kbps</td>
<td>250ms</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSL</td>
<td>512Kbps</td>
<td>100ms</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>1.5Mbps</td>
<td>500ms</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>1.5Mbps</td>
<td>100ms</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15 illustrates the maximum durations of host operations for ESX/ESXi hosts in various network environments.

Figure 15. Maximum Host Operation Time for ESX/ESXi Hosts
Performance Tips

- Upgrade to Update Manager 4.1 (or at least Update Manager 4.0 U2) or later if you are working on slow network.
- Host operations in a slow network will take a long time. Refer to Figure 15 for the maximum time estimation. Don’t interrupt ongoing operations.

Conclusion

VMware vCenter Update Manager delivers the most full-featured and robust patch management product for vSphere 4.1. This white paper displays test data and recommends various performance tips to help your Update Manager deployments run as efficiently as possible.
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